Name of Meeting	People Committee								
Title of Report:		Workforce Race & Disability Equality Standard Annual Report 2025							
Date of Meeting:	Wednesday 10 S								
Executive Lead:				Workforce & OD					
Report Author:				y & Inclusion Lead sity & Inclusion O					
Agenda Item No:	9.4								
	T	Nada Branco Bran							
Action Required:	Note	Assur	ance	Discussion	Decision				
		✓							
Ctrotorio ambition	. this paper supp	nto.							
Strategic ambitions  1. Quality care, even		orts:							
2. Person-led care	• •	it is not	ndod						
		11 13 1166	<del>sucu</del>						
<ul><li>3. A great place to</li><li>4. Sustainable for</li></ul>		ovating	overy day						
			every day						
J. Working with an	5. Working with and for our communities								
Committee / Meetin	nas where this iter	n has	Manag	ement meetings w	vhere this item	has			
been considered				onsidered					
Audit Committee			Trustwi	ide Safety Group					
CEDAR Programme	Board		Trustwi	ide Quality Improve	ment Group				
Charitable Funds Co	ommittee		Trustwi	ide Strategic Workf	orce Group	$\square$			
Mental Health Legisl	lation Committee		Trustwi	ide Performance M	eeting				
People Committee		V	Trustwi	ide Business Delive	ery Group				
Quality and Perform	ance Committee		Local C	Operational Manage	ement Group				
Resource and Busin	ess Assurance		Execut	ive Management G	roup				
Committee									
Remuneration Comr	nittee								
Other/external (pleas	se specify								
D 4	5.43	C 11 -							
Does the report im		tollowii	ng areas ( <i>µ</i>	olease check the b	oox and provid	е			
Commercial		Т	Finance /	Value for Money					
Compliance / Regula	atory	<u> </u>		afety and Experien	ce				
Environmental	•			ser, carer and stake					
			involveme						
Equality, diversity ar	nd Inclusion	$\checkmark$	System-w	ride Impact					
Estates and Facilitie									

Board Assurance Framework/Corporate Risk Register risks this paper relates to: SA3 – Great Place to Work. Risk of poor staff motivation, engagement, and job satisfaction if issues affecting staff experience are not addressed including health and wellbeing support, inclusion and the ability to speak up.



# People Committee Wednesday 10 September 2025

#### Workforce Race & Disability Equality Standard Annual Report 2025

#### 1. Executive Summary

The Workforce Race Equality Standard (WRES) and Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) support positive change for existing employees and enable a more inclusive environment for Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) and Disabled people working in the NHS. We are required to report our performance on these standards yearly and to address disparities via recommendations and action plans. The actions align to the NHS England Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) Improvement plan, as well as the Trust's Strategic Ambition to be a great place to work and EDS 2022 Domain 2 - Workforce Health and Well-being and Domain 3 - Inclusive Leadership.

#### 2. Key issues, significant risks and mitigations

The statistics in this report are based on known protected characteristic status of staff. We have therefore excluded from calculations staff where there is no record of ethnicity or disability. Prior to the data collection date we ran a campaign to improve reporting of protected characteristic information on ESR. As a result we have no ethnicity data for 0.7% of staff and no disability status for 8.7% of staff – an improvement on 10.2% in the previous year.

There are specific risks of Race Discrimination and Disability Discrimination under the Equality Act if policies and practices are not in line with legislation. There are reputational risks to the Trust if legislation and best practice is not followed which may have a detrimental effect on attraction and retention of staff.

#### 3. Recommendation/summary

The People Committee is asked to discuss the content of this paper and receive assurance that the Trust meets NHS England requirements for WRES and WDES which need to be finalised and published by 31 October 2025.

**Christopher Rowlands** 

Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Lead

Lynne Shaw

Executive Director of Workforce & OD

**Emma Silver Price** 

Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Officer

September 2025

#### **Workforce Race Equality Standard (WRES)**

The figures contained in the table below are a snapshot as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025, as well as findings from the most recent NHS Staff Survey which took place in Autumn 2024. It should be noted that these figures do not include NTW Solutions or Bank Staff. Please see the appendices for all WRES data tables. At the audit date there were 1036 (897 in 2024) BME members of staff employed by the Trust. These staff made up 13% (11%) of our overall workforce. Latest data on Ethnicity from the 2021 Office for National Statistics Census shows the BME population across North East England is 7%.

Metric	tric CNTW Figures for 2024			CNTW Figures for 2025			Key Points to Note
	White	вме	Comments	White	ВМЕ	Comments	
Total Staff	7197	897	BME 11% of Total Workforce	6961	1036	BME 13% of Total Workforce	2% points increase on 2024 for BME
Non-clinical Staff	1495	47	BME 3% of non- Clinical staff	1434	61	BME 4% non- Clinical staff	1% point increase on 2024 for BME
Clinical Staff	5536	685	BME 11% of Clinical staff	5364	800	BME 13% of Clinical staff	2% points increase on 2024 for BME
Medical Staff	166	165	BME 49.8% of Medical Staff	163	175	BME 52% of Medical staff	2.2% points increase on 2024 for BME
Non-Clinical Band 5 or Below			1147 54	54	White non-Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th>Increase of 5.5% points on 2024 for BME. Indicative of need to</th></b5<>	Increase of 5.5% points on 2024 for BME. Indicative of need to	
			non- Clinical			88.5% BME non- Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th>consider progression initiatives for staff <b5< th=""></b5<></th></b5<>	consider progression initiatives for staff <b5< th=""></b5<>
Clinical Band 5 or below	nd 2572 543	46.5% White Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th>2362</th><th>656</th><th>44% White Clinical staff at</th><th>Increase of 2.7% points on 2024 for BME. 38% point gap</th></b5<>	2362	656	44% White Clinical staff at	Increase of 2.7% points on 2024 for BME. 38% point gap	
			79.3% BME Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>82% BME Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th>between White and BME indicative of need to address progression initiatives for staff <b5< th=""></b5<></th></b5<></th></b5<>			82% BME Clinical staff at <b5< th=""><th>between White and BME indicative of need to address progression initiatives for staff <b5< th=""></b5<></th></b5<>	between White and BME indicative of need to address progression initiatives for staff <b5< th=""></b5<>

Medical Consultant Grade	121	91	72.9% White Consultant 55.2% BME	112	98	68.7% White Consultant 56% BME Consultant	Increase of 0.8% point on 2024 for BME
Staff appointed from shortlisting	759 (3517 shortlisted)	276 (1918 shortlisted)	White applicants 1.48 times more likely to be appointed	723 (2745 shortlisted)	177 (1509 shortlisted)	White applicants 2.24 times more likely to be appointed	11.7% (14.3%) of shortlisted BME applicants were appointed, compared with 26.3% (21.5%) White applicants
Staff entering formal disciplinary process	54	12	BME staff 1.76 times more likely to be in a formal process	68	17	BME staff 1.69 times more likely to be in formal process	Slight improvement on 2024 (1.76)
Staff accessing non- mandatory training & CPD	Not Recorded			Not Recorded. The last known figure is for the 2020 return which showed that BME staff were 1.5 times more likely than White staff to access non-mandatory training.			A plan is being put in place to ensure that these data are captured for the next submission
% Staff experiencing bullying, harassment or abuse from patients, relatives or public	24.7%	36.55%	11.85% point disparity gap	25.8%	47.6%	21.8% point disparity gap	Gap has doubled in the past year
% Staff experiencing bullying, harassment or abuse from staff	15.02%	21.28%	6.26% point disparity gap	18.9%	23.6%	4.7% point disparity gap	Gap has closed but year on year experience has increased
% Staff believing organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression	64.27%	53.45%	10.82% point disparity gap	63.0%	56.0%	7% point disparity gap	Gap is closing – helped by reduction year on year for White staff
% Staff experiencing discrimination from manager, team lead or colleague	5.53%	15.57%	10.04% point disparity gap	6.3%	18.0%	11.7% point disparity gap	Gap has increased and overall rate year on year

WRES)  ethnicity as BME (March 2024 snapshot)
---

#### **WRES 2025 Actions: Our Ten Point Plan**

#### Continue our work towards being an anti-racist organisation

- 1. Adopt NHS Race and Health Observatory's Seven Anti Racism Principles
- 2. Implement the procedures in the UNESCO Toolkit Fighting Racism and Discrimination
- 3. Develop a clear and visible race equality statement as part of the revised Equality Diversity and Human Rights Policy championed by leadership. Include guidelines to ensure that all future Policies and Practice Guidance are developed through an antiracist lens
- 4. Provide a Trust-wide racism reporting process for notifying, investigating and recording outcomes
- 5. Provide a Trust-wide wellbeing offer for staff experiencing racism in the workplace

#### Start to address the disparity in career progression

- 6. Launch of Talent Management Framework
- 7. Collect robust data on accessing non-mandatory training
- 8. Exit interview results to identify and address race disparities in retention of staff members

#### **Undertake routine monitoring and evaluation of progress**

- 9. Use NHS Race and Health Observatory tools to assess progression on the above
- 10. Dedicated WRES Half Day Meeting in Spring 2026 to coincide with release of Staff Survey Results

#### **Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES)**

The figures contained in the table below are a snapshot as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025, as well as findings from the most recent NHS Staff Survey which took place in Autumn 2024. These figures do not include NTW Solutions Staff or Bank staff. It should be noted that the overall ESR figure of Disabled Staff employed by the Trust is 9.7% (8.9% 2024), this is considerably lower than the figure identified through the most recent NHS Staff Survey, where 36.5% (36.7%) of our workforce stated that they live with a long term condition. The most recent figures for the disabled population of the North East (2021 Census) states that 21.2% of the population meets the criteria for disability as defined by the Equality Act. The statistics in this report are based on known protected characteristic status of staff. We have therefore excluded from calculations staff where there is no record of disability. Prior to the data collection date we ran a campaign to improve reporting of protected characteristic information on ESR. As a result we have seen an improvement in reporting. We now have no disability status for 8.7% of staff – an improvement on 10.2% in the previous year. Please see the appendices for all WDES data tables.

Metric	CNTW Figures for 2024		CNTW Figu	res for 2025	;	Key points to Note	
	Disabled	Non- Disabled	Comments	Disabled	Non- Disabled	Comments	
Total Staff	723	6601	Disabled staff 8.9% of total workforce	867	6564	Disabled staff 9.7% of total workforce	Increase of 0.8% point
Non-Clinical Staff	156	1269	Disabled staff 12.2% of non- Clinical staff	197	1237	Disabled staff 13.8% of non- Clinical staff	Increase of 1.6% points
Clinical Staff	546	5083	Disabled staff 10.7% of Clinical staff	641	5071	Disabled staff 11.2% of Clinical staff	Increase of 0.5% point
Medical Staff	22	249	Disabled staff 8.8% of Medical staff	29	256	Disabled staff 10.2% of Medical staff	Increase of 1.4% points
Non-Clinical Band 5 or below	134	979	85.9% Disabled non- Clinical at <b5 77.1%="" non-<="" th=""><th>161</th><th>970</th><th>81.7% Disabled non- Clinical at <b5 78.4%="" non-<="" th=""><th>4.2% points drop in Disabled non- Clinical Staff at <b5 compared<br="">to 2024</b5></th></b5></th></b5>	161	970	81.7% Disabled non- Clinical at <b5 78.4%="" non-<="" th=""><th>4.2% points drop in Disabled non- Clinical Staff at <b5 compared<br="">to 2024</b5></th></b5>	4.2% points drop in Disabled non- Clinical Staff at <b5 compared<br="">to 2024</b5>
			Disabled non- Clinical at <85			Disabled non- Clinical at <b5< th=""><th></th></b5<>	
Clinical Band 5 or below	267	2495	48.9% Disabled Clinical at <85	293	2433	45.7% Disabled Clinical at <85	3.2% points drop in Disabled Clinical Staff at
			49.1% non- Disabled Clinical at <b5< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>48% non- Disabled Clinical at <b5< th=""><th><b5 2024<="" compared="" th="" to=""></b5></th></b5<></th></b5<>			48% non- Disabled Clinical at <b5< th=""><th><b5 2024<="" compared="" th="" to=""></b5></th></b5<>	<b5 2024<="" compared="" th="" to=""></b5>
Medical consultant grade	12	154	54.5% Disabled Medical at Consultant Grade	12	159	41.2% Disabled Medical Staff at Consultant Grade	13.3% points drop in Disabled Medical Staff at Consultant Grade
			61.8% non- Disabled Medical at Consultant Grade			62.1% non- Disabled Medical at Consultant Grade	compared to 2024
Staff Appointed from Shortlisting	84 (600 shortlisted)	933 (4766 shortlisted)	Non- disabled staff are 1.4 times more likely	95 (618 shortlisted)	752 (3533 shortlisted)	Non- disabled staff are 1.4 times more likely	Trend remains the same between 2024/2025

			4- h-			4- h-	T
			to be appointed			to be appointed	
			from			from	
			shortlisting			shortlisting	
Staff entering	No figures a	vailable for 20	024.	No figures a	vailable for 2	025.	A plan is being
formal capability							put in place to
process							ensure that these data are
							captured for
							the next
							submission
% Staff	27.90	24.08%	3.82%	29.3%	27.49%	1.81%	Gap narrowed
experiencing			points			points	by 2.01%
bullying, harassment			disparity			disparity	points –
or abuse from			gap			gap	however
patients,							overall level has increased
relatives or							nas increased
public % Staff	9.200/	4.200/	2.00/	11 000/	E GEO/	E 640/	Conjugar
% Staπ experiencing	8.29%	4.39%	3.9% points	11.26%	5.65%	5.61% points	Gap increased by 1.71%
bullying,			disparity			disparity	points
harassment			gap			gap	Politic
or abuse from			9-1-			9	
manager % Staff	16.60%	10.30%	6.3%	21.58%	12.45%	9.13%	Gap increased
experiencing	10.00 /6	10.30 /6	points	21.3070	12.45 /0	points	by 2.83%
bullying,			disparity			disparity	points
harassment			gap			gap	P =
or abuse from colleagues						0 1	
% Staff or	65.43%	71.81%	6.38%	68.53%	71.98%	3.45%	Gap
colleagues	00.1070	7 1.0 1 70	points	00.0070	7 1.00 70	points	decreased by
reporting			disparity			disparity	2.93% points
bullying, harassment			gap			gap	
or abuse at							
work							
% Staff	59.98%	65.03%	5.05%	58.05%	64.47%	6.42%	Gap increased
believing			points			points	by 1.37%
organisation provides			disparity			disparity	points
equal			gap			gap	
opportunities							
for career							
progression							
% Staff who	17.27%	10.23%	7.04%	16.54%	15.64%	0.9%	Gap narrowed
felt pressure			point			points	by 6.14%
from manager to work,			disparity			disparity	points –
despite not			gap			gap	however
feeling well							explained by more non-
enough							disabled staff
							feeling
							pressure
% Staff	44.08%	51.76%	7.68%	41.96%	48.21%	6.25%	Gap narrowed
satisfied with			point			points	by 1.43%
extent that Organisation			disparity			disparity	points
values their			gap			gap	however
work							explained by reduction in
							non-disabled
							กษา-นเรลมเซน

							staff feeling valued
% Staff with long-lasting health condition or illness saying employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to carry out their work	81.04%	N/A		79.64%	N/A	1.4% points reduction on 2024	1.4% points reduction compared with 2024
% Trust's Board Membership Compared to Overall Workforce	7.1%	92.9%	Disabled staff 8.9% of total workforce	7.1%	92.9%	Disabled staff 9.7% of total workforce	

#### **WDES 2024 Actions: Our Ten Point Plan**

#### Commence work to become an anti-ableist organisation

- 1. Audit organisational practices using the Framework for Anti-Ableist Organisations to:
  - a. Set out the basic principles for becoming an anti-ableist organisation
  - b. Locate our current position towards anti-ableism and set out a plan for progression
  - c. Support leaders to embed anti-ableism in their practices
  - d. Promote discussion of anti-ableist practice
  - e. Reflect on examples of anti-ableist practice
- 2. Develop a clear and visible disability equality statement as part of the revised Equality Diversity and Human Rights Policy championed by leadership. Include guidelines to ensure that all future Policies and Practice Guidance are developed through an anti-ableist lens
- 3. Provide a Trust-wide ableism reporting process for notifying, investigating and recording outcomes
- 4. Provide a Trust-wide wellbeing offer for staff experiencing ableism in the workplace
- 5. Complete submission for assessment against Level 3 Disability Confident by May 2026
  - Ensure that associated actions are incorporated into the 2026 WDES reporting process
- 6. Run a Give Respect Get Respect Campaign during Disability History Month with an antiableism theme

#### Make CNTW a better place to work for Disabled Staff

- 7. Conduct a thorough review of reasonable adjustment processes to ensure that they are robust and user friendly for both disabled staff and their managers
- 8. Once processes are agreed capture in a new Reasonable Adjustments Policy and PGN. The policy will be co-produced with the Disabled Staff Network and adopt and anti-ableist and trauma informed approach

#### Undertake routine monitoring and evaluation of progress

- 9. Collate robust data on capability and report upon it routinely
- 10. WDES Half Day Meeting in Spring 2026 to coincide with release of Staff Survey Results

# WRES & WDES DATA 2024

	вме	White	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL	Notes
1a) Non Clinical workforce	Verified figures	Verified figures	Verified figures	Verified figures
Under Band 1	2	10	0	
Band 1	0	1	0	
Band 2	5	160	2	
Band 3	27	442	5	
Band 4	14	337	2	
Band 5	6	197	1	
Band 6	3	133	1	
Band 7	4	72	1	
Band 8A	0	46	0	
Band 8B	0	29	0	
Band 8C	0	2	0	
Band 8D	0	1	0	
Band 9	0	0	0	
VSM	0	4	0	Snr Mgr BME 1; White 35

	вме	WHITE	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL	Notes
Clinical workforce	Verified figures	Verified figures	Verified figures	Verified figures
Under Band 1	5	46	0	
Band 1	0	1	0	
Band 2	2	9	0	
Band 3	450	1362	10	
Band 4	23	306	3	
Band 5	176	638	8	
Band 6	77	1554	14	
Band 7	46	932	6	
Band 8A	10	300	3	
Band 8B	6	109	0	
Band 8C	3	85	1	
Band 8D	2	20	0	
Band 9	0	1	0	
VSM	0	1	0	
Consultants	98	112	0	
of which Senior medical manager	1	0	0	Medical Director
Non-consultant career grade	63	40	1	
Trainee grades	14	11	0	
Other	0	0	0	

# Relative likelihood of staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts

	ВМЕ	WHITE	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL
	Verified figures	Verified figures	Verified figures
Number of shortlisted applicants	1509	2745	317
Number appointed from shortlisting	177	723	3
Relative likelihood of appointment from shortlisting	0.117296	0.263388	
Relative likelihood of White staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to BME staff	2.245494		

# Relative likelihood of staff entering the formal disciplinary process, as measured by entry into a formal disciplinary investigation

	ВМЕ	WHITE	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL
Number of staff entering the formal disciplinary process	17	68	1
Likelihood of staff entering the formal disciplinary process	0.016409	0.009769	0
Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process compared to White staff		1.679778	

### Relative likelihood of staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD

	вме	WHITE	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL		
Number of staff in workforce	897	7197	67		
Number of staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD:					
Likelihood of staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD	No Data Collected				
Relative likelihood of White staff accessing non- mandatory training and CPD compared to BME staff					

# Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients / service users, relatives or the public in last 12 months

	WHITE	ALL OTHER ETHNIC GROUPS
Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients / service users, relatives or the public in last 12 months	25.85%	47.65%
Total Responses	2944	361

### Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months

	WHITE	ALL OTHER ETHNIC GROUPS
Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months	18.93%	23.60%
Total Responses	2932	356

### Percentage of staff believing that the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion

	WHITE	ALL OTHER ETHNIC GROUPS
Percentage of staff believing that the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion	62.95%	56.03%
Total Responses	2934	348

# Percentage of staff experiencing discrimination at work from manager / team leader or other colleagues in last 12 months

	WHITE	ALL OTHER ETHNIC GROUPS
Percentage of staff experiencing discrimination at work from manager / team leader or other colleagues in last 12 months	6.31%	18.03%
Total Responses	2918	355

### **Board voting membership**

	White	ВМЕ	Unknown
Total Board Members	14	2	0
Voting Board Members	14	2	0
Exec	6	1	0
NED (incl. Chair)	8	1	0

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Unknown/Null	Total
1a) Non Clinical Staff				
Under Band 1	1	10	1	12
Bands 1	0	1	0	1
Bands 2	20	137	10	167
Bands 3	67	370	37	474
Bands 4	47	290	16	353
Bands 5	26	162	16	204
Bands 6	19	108	10	137
Bands 7	7	63	7	77
Bands 8a	6	38	2	46
Bands 8b	1	20	8	29
Bands 8c	0	2	0	2
Bands 8d	0	1	0	1
Bands 9	0	0	0	0
VSM	0	4	0	4
Other (e.g. Bank or Agency) Please specify in notes.	3	31	7	41
Cluster 1: AfC Bands <1 to 4	135	808	64	1007
Cluster 2: AfC bands 5 to 7	52	333	33	418
Cluster 3: AfC bands 8a and 8b	7	58	10	75
Cluster 4: AfC bands 8c to VSM	0	7	0	7
Total Non-Clinical	197	1237	114	1548

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Unknown/Null	Total			
1b) Clinical Staff	1b) Clinical Staff						
Under Band 1	5	45	1	51			
Bands 1	1	0	0	1			
Bands 2	5	6	0	11			
Bands 3	132	1473	217	1822			
Bands 4	56	250	26	332			
Bands 5	94	659	69	822			
Bands 6	204	1305	136	1645			
Bands 7	99	825	60	984			
Bands 8a	29	272	12	313			
Bands 8b	7	105	3	115			
Bands 8c	5	76	8	89			
Bands 8d	3	19	0	22			
Bands 9	0	1	0	1			
VSM	0	1	0	1			
Other (e.g. Bank or Agency) Please specify in notes.	1	34	1	36			
Cluster 1: AfC Bands <1 to 4	199	1774	244	2217			
Cluster 2: AfC bands 5 to 7	397	2789	265	3451			
Cluster 3: AfC bands 8a and 8b	36	377	15	428			
Cluster 4: AfC bands 8c to VSM	8	97	8	113			
Total Clinical	641	5071	533	6245			
Medical & Dental Staff, Consultants	12	159	39	210			
Medical & Dental Staff, Non-Consultants career grade	16	74	14	104			
Medical & Dental Staff, trainee grades	1	23	1	25			
Total Medical and Dental	29	256	54	339			
Number of staff in workforce	867	6564	701	8132			

### Relative likelihood of non-Disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Unknown
Number of shortlisted applicants	618	3533	230
Number appointed from shortlisting	95	752	8
Likelihood of shortlisting/appointed	0.153722	0.212850	0
Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to Disabled staff	1.384647		

# Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure

	Disabled	Non-disabled	
Total Number of Staff	Na Data Callastad		
Average number of staff entering the formal capability process over the last 2 years. (i.e. Total divided by 2.)			
Likelihood of staff entering the formal capability process	No Data Collected		
Relative likelihood of Disabled staff entering the formal capability process compared to Non- Disabled staff			

#### Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients / service users, relatives or the public in last 12 months

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients / service users, relatives or the public in last 12 months	29.32%	27.49%
Total Number of Responses	1204	2095

#### Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from managers in last 12 months

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from managers in last 12 months	11.26%	5.65%
Total Number of Responses	1191	2065

### Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from other colleagues in last 12 months

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from other colleagues in last 12 months	21.58%	12.45%
Total Number of Responses	1175	2015

### Percentage of staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it	68.53%	71.98%
Total Number of Responses	483	678

#### Percentage of staff who believe that their organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff who believe that their organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion	58.05%	64.47%
Total Number of Responses	1199	2077

### Percentage of staff who have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff who have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties	16.54%	15.64%
Total Number of Responses	786	946

#### Percentage of staff satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Percentage of staff satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work	41.96%	48.21%
Total Number of Responses	1206	2099

# Percentage of staff with a long lasting health condition or illness saying their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work

	Disabled
Percentage of staff with a long lasting health condition or illness saying their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work	79.64%
Total Number of Responses	781

#### **Staff Engagement Score**

	Disabled	Non-disabled
Staff engagement score (0-10)	6.69	7.08
Total Number of Responses	1208	2100

# **Board voting membership**

	Disabled	Non-Disabled	Unknown
Total Board Members	1	13	
Voting Board Members	1	13	
Exec		6	
NED (incl. Chair)	1	7	