#### Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust

#### **Board of Directors Meeting**

Meeting Date: 26<sup>th</sup> October 2016

Title and Author of Paper: Quarterly Report to NHS Improvement (Risk Assessment

Framework),

Anna Foster, Deputy Director of Commissioning & Quality Assurance

Dave Rycroft, Deputy Director of Finance

Executive Lead: Lisa Quinn, Executive Director of Commissioning & Quality Assurance

Paper for Debate, Decision or Information: Approval

#### Key Points to Note:

- 1. The new Single Oversight Framework which replaces the Risk Assessment Framework (RAF) was published on 30 September and came into effect on 1 October. Its use starts with Month 7 returns but some transitional arrangements have been applied for Q2 returns.
- 2. This is the Quarter 2 2016/17 submission of the Risk Assessment Framework. The quarterly Finance & Governance templates are now submitted separately as NHS Improvement want to receive the financial information earlier. This quarter no Governance Return is required due to the launch of the new Single Oversight Framework. However, the Trust is still making its declaration for Governance in its supporting commentary.
- 3. NTW is declaring a Financial Sustainability risk rating of 3. Due to the implementation of the Single Oversight Framework a governance submission is not required for this quarter however if it were the rating would remain GREEN (no issues identified).
- 4. As part of the RAF quarterly submission, the Board is asked to declare that it confirms that it is anticipated that the Trust will continue to maintain a Financial Sustainability risk rating of at least 3 over the next 12 months. This is not required this quarter as the Financial Sustainability risk rating is replaced by the Use of Resources rating from 1 October.

However, if the FSRR had continued the Board would probably have been able to confirm that the Trust would continue to maintain a Financial Sustainability risk rating of at least 3 over the next 12 months. Although this would have required further work to be undertaken on next year's position.

- 5. From Month 6 NHSI have introduced a new Board Assurance statement, which must be completed if a trust is reporting an adverse change in its forecast out-turn position. This month the Trust is still reporting achievement of its control total so this statement is not required. It is recognised there are a number of risks to delivery and these will continue to be closely evaluated to inform any potential future changes to the Trust's forecast position.
- 6. NHSI have also introduced three new agency information reporting requirements. Trust level

data on agency expenditure will be included in NHS Improvement's quarterly finance report from quarter two and is likely to include the best and worst performing trusts against ceiling and relative to workforce costs. Information showing agency staff (anonymised) employed for more than 6 consecutive months and also the top 20 highest earning agency staff is included within the report.

7. Additionally, NHSI are seeking assurance that Trust Boards are holding executive directors to account to reduce excess costs associated with agency spending and have released a self-certification checklist to be completed by Boards by 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016. This is included within the report and the Board are asked to consider the most appropriate approach to completion of this checklist.

Risks Highlighted to Board: Financial Sustainability Risk Rating

Does this affect any Board Assurance Framework/Corporate Risks?

Please state Yes or No

Yes – meeting compliance standards

If Yes please outline

Equal Opportunities, Legal and Other Implications: None

### Outcome Required:

To note the Finance submission which was approved by Execs and submitted to NHS Improvement on 17<sup>th</sup> October 2016.

To note the Quarter 2 Governance position (which is **not** required to be submitted to NHS Improvement due to the implementation of the Single Oversight Framework)

To determine the governance items (as listed in Appendix 2) that will be reported to the NHS Improvement Manager for quarter 2.

To note the Quarter 2 self-assessed position against the requirements of the Single Oversight Framework, which takes effect from Quarter 3.

To note the required submission of agency information that will be reported to NHS Improvement in October 2016.

To consider the preferred approach to completion of the agency expenditure checklist by 30th November 2016.

Link to Policies and Strategies: N/A



#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS 26<sup>th</sup> October 2016

# Quarterly Report to NHS Improvement (Risk Assessment Framework & Single Oversight Framework)

#### **PURPOSE**

To present to the Board of Directors the position against the governance requirements of the existing Risk Assessment Framework and also the new Single Oversight Framework. Note that the in-year governance monitoring return and declarations for quarter 2 are not required by NHS Improvement due to the implementation of the new Single Oversight Framework. However, this report presents the position as it would have been reported, notes the position as self-assessed against the new framework and also includes the governance commentary for Quarter 2 which will be shared with NHS Improvement.

#### **BACKGROUND**

NHS Improvement oversees foundation trusts using the Risk Assessment Framework, which is superceded from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016 by the Single Oversight Framework.

Monitor provided all Trusts with a new governance rating on implementation of the Risk Assessment Framework in October 2013 – NTW was given the rating of GREEN (no issues identified) and this has been maintained ever since.

For the Financial Sustainability risk rating the Trust is a 3 at quarter two. A summary of the Trust ratings since the start of financial year 2011/12 are set out below:

	Q1&Q2 11-12	Q3&Q4 11-12 All qtrs 12-13	Q1,2, 3 &4 13-14	Q1& Q2 14-15	Q3 14-15	Q4 14-15	Q1,2,3 & 4 15-16	Q1 & 2 16-17
Continuity of Services Rating	5	5	3	3	4	3	4	2 (Q1) & 3 (Q2)
Governance Risk Rating	Amber/ Red	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green

#### **QUARTERLY SUBMISSION**

The procedure for preparing the quarterly submission to NHS Improvement is set out in **Appendix 1**. The quarterly finance return was approved by Executives prior to submission on 17<sup>th</sup> October.

In accordance with the most recent guidance for quarterly submissions the Board declarations for this quarter would be as below – <a href="https://however.there">however</a>, there is no requirement for the Board to agree this declaration for this quarter as NHS Improvement have confirmed that there is no requirement for the Quarter two governance return to be submitted.

## In Year Governance Statement from the Board of Northumberland, Tyne & W

The board are required to respond "Confirmed" or "Not confirmed" to the following statements (see notes below)								
For finance, that:								
The board anticipates that the trust will continue to maintain a financial sustainability risk rating of at least 3 over the next 12 months.	Confirmed							
The Board anticipates that the trust's capital expenditure for the remainder of the financial year will not materially differ from the amended forecast								
in this financial return.	Confirmed							
For governance, that:								
The board is satisfied that plans in place are sufficient to ensure: ongoing compliance with all existing targets (after the application of thresholds) as set out in Appendix A of the Risk Assessment Framework; and a commitment to comply with all known targets going forwards.	Confirmed							
Otherwise:								
The board confirms that there are no matters arising in the quarter requiring an exception report to NHS Improvement (per the Risk Assessment Framework, Table 3) which have not already been reported.	Confirmed							
Consolidated subsidiaries:								
Number of subsidiaries included in the finances of this return. This template should not include the results of your NHS charitable funds.	0							
	banana da							
Signed on behalf of the board of directors								
	]							
Signature Signature								
Name John Lawlor Name Hugh Morgan-Williams								
Capacity Chief Executive Capacity Chair								
Date 26/10/2016 Date 26/10/2016								

Quarter 2 Governance narrative – the following information **WILL** still be submitted to NHS Improvement:

#### **Board Changes & Elections**

**Report on any changes to the Board of Directors:** There have been no changes to the Board of Directors during Quarter 2.

	Q2 2016-17
Total number of Executive posts on the Board (voting)	6
Number of posts currently vacant	0
Number of posts currently filled by interim appointments	0
Number of resignations in quarter	0
Number of appointments in quarter	0

### Report on any changes to the Council of Governors:

There has been one change to the Council of Governors during Quarter 2 as detailed below:

Leavers: Mary Foy stepped down on 20<sup>th</sup> September 2016

### **Results of any election for the Council of Governors:**

**Elected: None** 

#### **Governor Elections**

There will be Governor Elections for 5 vacancies in November:

Carer Governors:	Staff Governors:
Older People's Services (1)	None
Service User Governors:	Public Governors:
Children and Young Peoples Services (1) Older Peoples Services (1)	North Tyneside (1) South Tyneside (1)

<u>Access targets and outcomes objectives:</u> The following table provides the position in relation to Quarter 2 performance for assurance purposes (note that this is presented using the internal format as the NHS Improvement template is not required to be submitted)

N	NHS Improvement Risk Assessment Framework Dashboard													
Ka.	y Indicators:	Standard	Q3 2015-16			Q4 2015-16			Q1 2016-17			Q2 2016-17		
ne	y muicators.	Standard	Oct	Nov QTD	Q3	Jan	Feb QTD	Q4	Apr	May QTD	Q1	July	Aug QTD	Q2
Go	vernance Risk Rating													
Fin	ancial Sustainability Risk Rating		4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	
	7 day follow up	95%	98.4%	98.5%	98.7%	98.5%	98.3%	98.1%	95.7%	97.2%	97.4%	96.8%	97.1%	97.2%
	Service users on CPA 12 month review	95%	96.3%	97.0%	97.2%	96.0%	97.0%	97.2%	97.1%	95.9%	96.2%	95.8%	96.6%	96.9%
ဟု	Gatekeeping admissions by CRHT teams	95%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Access	EIP 2 w eek w ait	50%				35.3%	76.1%	74.7%	90.3%	88.8%	87.4%	91.7%	85.2%	82.3%
Ă	IAPT 6 w eek w ait	75%	98.8%	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%	98.8%	98.8%	99.6%	99.0%	98.7%	98.0%	98.5%	98.6%
	IAPT 18 w eek w ait	95%	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%	99.8%	99.9%
	RTT w aiting times (incomplete)	92%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Clostridium Difficile objective		1											
S	Delayed Transfers of care	7.5%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%	2.7%	2.4%	2.3%	2.4%	2.0%	1.8%	2.0%	2.0%	1.8%
Outcomes	Data Quality: Outcomes	50%	92.4%	93.1%	93.0%	92.4%	92.8%	93.4%	93.4%	93.1%	92.5%	92.7%	92.9%	92.5%
Outc	Data Quality: completeness	97%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.9%
	LD access requirements													
_	k/failure to deliver Commissioner quested Services		No	No	No									
CC	C Compliance action outstanding		No	No	No									
CQC enforcement action in the last 12 months			No	No	No									
CQC enforcement action in effect			No	No	No									
Мо	derate CQC concerns		No	No	No									
Ma	jor CQC concerns		No	No	No									
No	n compliance with CQC registration		No	No	No									

# The following table presents the quarter two operational performance against the requirements of the new Single Oversight Framework:

Metrics: (nb concerns will be triggered by failure to achieve standard in more than 2	Frequency	Source	Standard	Quarter 2 1617 self	NTW % as	National %	Comments. NB those classed as "NEW" were not included
consecutive months)				assessment	per most	from most	in the previous framework
					recently	recently	
					published	published	
					MHSDS/RT		
					T/EIP/IAPT	data	
					data		
Maximum time of 18 weeks from point of referral to treatment (RTT) in aggregate - patients on	Monthly	UNIFY2 and MHSDS	92%	100%	100%	91.00%	Currently achieved but when gender is included in RTT data
an incomplete pathway							NTW will not meet 92% standard. National data includes all NHS providers and is at July 2016
Patients requiring acute care who received a gatekeeping assessment by a crisis resolution and home treatment team in line with best practice standards	Quarterly	UNIFY2 and MHSDS	95%	99.8%	no data	no data	
People with a first episode of psychosis begin treatment with a NICE-recommended package of	Quarterly	UNIFY2 and MHSDS	50%	82.3%	88%	74.60%	While the waiting times element of the standard is achieved
care within 2 weeks of referral							there are risks to achievement of the NICE compliance element of the standard. Published data is as at July 2016
Ensure that cardio-metabolic assessment and treatment for people with psychosis is delivered							
routinely in the following service areas:							
a) inpatient wards	Quarterly	Provider return / CQUIN audit	90%	57%	no data	no data	from weekly sheet 6.10.16
b) early intervention in psychosis services	Quarterly	Provider return / CQUIN audit	90%	72%	no data	no data	from weekly sheet 6.10.16
c) community mental health services (people on Care Programme Approach)	Quarterly	Provider return / CQUIN audit	65%	26%	no data	no data	from weekly sheet 6.10.16
Complete and valid submissions of metrics in the monthly Mental Health Services Data Set							
submissions to NHS Digital:							
· identifier metrics:							
NHS Number	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	99.9%	99.4%	99.3%	
Date of Birth	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Postcode	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	99.9%	99.8%	99.3%	
Current Gender	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	
GP code	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	99.8%	99.1%	97.1%	
CCG code	Monthly	MHSDS	95%	99.5%	98.5%	96.3%	
priority metrics:		1 # 10 D O	050/ 1 40/47	99.994	05.000/	00.000/	NEW D. C. C. C. C.
ethnicity	Monthly	MHSDS	85% by 16/17	93.0%	95.20%	82.30%	NEW. Data from metric 17 in dashboard
employment status (adults)	Monthly	MHSDS	year end 85% by 16/17	94.5%	26.64%	26.770/	MHSDS methodology TBC
lemployment status (addits)	IVIOLITIII	IVII ISDS	year end	94.576	20.04 /	20.77/0	INITIODS Methodology TBC
school attendance (CYP)	Monthly	MHSDS	85% by 16/17 year end	no data	no data	no data	NEW. Not currently collected in RIO or reported via MHSDS
accommodation status (adults)	Monthly	MHSDS	85% by 16/17 year end	94.2%	25.90%	28.50%	MHSDS methodology TBC
ICD10 coding	Monthly	MHSDS	85% by 16/17 year end	96.5%	0.43%	16.04%	NEW. (used metric 427). MHSDS methodology TBC
Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT)/talking therapies			your onu				(Sunderland service only)
proportion of people completing treatment who move to recovery	Quarterly	IAPT minimum dataset	50%	53.4%	49.0%	45.0%	NEW metric 1079
waiting time to begin treatment :							
- within 6 weeks	Quarterly	IAPT minimum dataset	75%	98.6%	93.20%	83.80%	published data as at April 2016
- within 18 weeks	Quarterly	IAPT minimum dataset	95%	99.9%	97.00%	97.40%	published data as at April 2016

#### Finance Returns

#### Risk Assessment Framework Financial Risk Rating

The full returns have been prepared in line with NHS Improvement requirements. Figures within the returns are consistent with those within the Finance Report considered on the agenda under Performance. The summary & table below show the Financial Sustainability Risk Rating.

Risk Ratings	Weight	Plan	Q1	Q2	Q4
_			Actual	Actual	Forecast
Capital Service Capacity	25%	2	1	2	2
Liquidity Ratio	25%	4	4	4	4
I&E Margin	25%	4	2	4	4
I&E Margin Variance	25%	4	2	3	3
Overall Rating		4	2	3	3

The Use of Resources rating includes a metric for Agency in addition to the 4 existing metrics. The new rating also reverses the ratings scoring making 1 the lowest risk and 4 the highest risk. The table below shows the Use of Resources rating applied to Q2 position and our forecast year-end position.

Risk Ratings	Weight	Plan	Q2 Actual	Q4 Forecast
Capital Service Capacity	20%	2	3	3
Liquidity Ratio	20%	4	1	1
I&E Margin	20%	4	1	1
I&E Margin Variance	20%	4	2	2
Agency	20%	4	2	3
Overall Rating		4	2	2

As part of the RAF quarterly submission, the Board is asked to declare that it confirms that it is anticipated that the Trust will continue to maintain a Financial Sustainability risk rating of at least 3 over the next 12 months. This is not required this quarter as the Financial Sustainability risk rating is replaced by the Use of Resources rating from 1 October. However, if the FSRR had continued the Board would probably have been able to confirm that the Trust would continue to maintain a Financial Sustainability risk rating of at least 3 over the next 12 months. Although this would have required further work to be undertaken on next year's position.

5. From Month 6 NHSI have introduced a new Board Assurance statement, which must be completed if a trust is reporting an adverse change in its forecast out-turn position. This month the Trust is still reporting achievement of its control total so this statement is not required. It is recognised there are a number of risks to delivery and these will continue to be closely evaluated to inform any potential future changes to the Trust's forecast position.

#### Agency reporting

Further actions arising from the "Strengthening Financial Performance & Accountability in 2016-17" framework are the recent introduction of three new agency returns. Trust level data on agency expenditure will be included in NHS Improvement's quarterly finance report from quarter two and is likely to include the best and worst performing trusts against ceiling and relative to workforce costs. Providers have been asked to provide to NHS Improvement the following information:

a) Monthly agency spending broken down by cost centre – to be provided separately to NHS Improvement. This information has not been included within this report due to the volume of data (c 200 cost centres, expenditure totalling £6.7m YTD). This data will be submitted to NHS Improvement on 24<sup>th</sup> October 2016.

b) List of the 20 highest-earning agency staff, anonymised (to be submitted to NHS Improvement 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016):

	Staff group	Grade	Department	# months service	Hourly rate	Monthly cost	Reason for usage	Action taken	Risk Rating
1	Medical	Consultant	Comm - N'land	0.50	£85	£14,744	Partly absence cover & partly Vacancy cover	Leaving 1/12/16	
2	Medical	Consultant	Spec - ST' S'land CYPS	4.75	£84	£14,492	Vacancy	Leaving end of Nov	
3	Medical	Consultant	Comm - New NT	5.00	£80	£13,867	Vacancy & Activity Levels	Leaving 31/3	
4	Medical	Consultant	Comm - S'land	0.25	£76	£13,191	Covering vacant consultant post	extended to 31/8/16 projected end date TBC	
5	Medical	Consultant	Inpatients - N'land & NT	1.00	£76	£13,191	Cover Secondment		
6	Medical	Consultant	Comm - New	5.00	£76	£13,191	Covering backfill		
7	Medical	Consultant	Inpatients - OPS	6.25	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving Oct	
8	Medical	Consultant	Comm - S'land	4.75	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	Forecast to continue	
9	Medical	Consultant	Spec - New/Gh CYPS	0.25	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	Forecast to continue	
10	Medical	Consultant	Inpatients - Adult	4.00	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	Forecast to continue	
11	Medical	Consultant	Comm - Gateshead	5.00	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving 7/11	
12	Medical	Consultant	Comm - New	2.25	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving 31st Dec	
13	Medical	Consultant	Inpatients - S'land	5.75	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving end of Oct	
14	Medical	Consultant	Comm - G'head	4.25	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving 27/11	
15	Medical	Consultant	Specialist - N'land CYPS	2.75	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	leaving end of Dec	
16	Medical	Consultant	Comm - NT	6.00	£76	£13,191	Backfill Role	Forecast to continue	
17	Medical	Consultant	Specialist - N'land CYPS	4.50	£76	£13,191	Vacancy	End of Nov	
18	Medical	Speciality Doctor	Inpatient - Adults	1.00	£52	£9,013	Vacancy	leaving Oct	
19	Medical	Speciality Doctor	Comm - ST	5.50	£52	£9,013	Covering unfunded post	leaving 30/11	
20	Medical	Speciality Doctor	Specialist - N'land CYPS	6.00	£52	£9,013	Vacancy	Leaving end of Feb	

c) List of agency staff that have been employed for more than 6 consecutive months as at 30.09.2016, anonymised (to be submitted to NHS Improvement 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016). There are 55 individuals meeting this criteria across the organisation, as follows:

Clinical Group		ntre & Description	No of agency staff employed more than 6 consecutive months as at 30.9.16:
Specialist	980315	Newcastle/Gateshead Cyps	2
Community	981715	PCP	1
Community	981906	North Tyneside Psychosis / Non Psychosis	1
Community	981354	NORTHUMBERLAND COGNITIVE WEST TEAM	1
Community	981421	SUNDERLAND COGNITIVE COMMUNITY	1
Community	984689	Medical - Northumberland	1
Community	982888	A&C ADULT COMMUNITY GHD	1
Community	982991	A&C COMMUNITY NORTH TYNESIDE	1
Community	981191	MEMORY PROTECTION SERVICE SOT A&C	1
Community	982887	A&C ADULT COMMUNITY SLD	1
Community	981715	PCP	23
Community	982839	SUNDERLAND WEST ADMIN & CLERICAL	1
Specialist	980056	Environmental Control Service	1
Specialist	980065	Ne Drive Mobility	1
Specialist	980315	Newcastle/Gateshead Cyps	3
Specialist	980604	South Tyneside/Sunderland Cyps	2
Specialist	980671	Kolvin Service	1
Specialist	980646	A&C CYP COMMUNITY NLD & NTYNE	2
Specialist	980014	Cyps Inpatient Arc	2
Specialist	980315	Newcastle/Gateshead Cyps	1
Specialist	984638	Medical - Northumberland Cyps	1
Inpatients	981806	OT Inpatient Ncl	1
Inpatients	982804	IP Gateshead	1
Inpatients	982993	IP Hopewood	1
Inpatients	984674	MEDICAL - ADULT WARDS SLD & S TYNE	1
Inpatients	984672	MEDICAL - OP ORGANIC & FUNCTIONAL NORTH	1
Inpatients	984675	MEDICAL - OP ORGANIC & FUNCTIONAL SOUTH	1

Additionally, there is a self-certification checklist in relation to agency expenditure for the Board to complete by 30 November. The checklist is attached below and the Board are asked to consider their approach to completion within the required timescale:

	Self-certification checklist Please discuss this in your board meeting	Yes - please specify steps taken	No. We will put this in place - please list actions
	Governance and ac	countability	
1	Our trust chief executive has a strong grip on agency spending and the support of the agency executive lead, the nursing director, medical director, finance director and HR director in reducing agency spending.		
2	Reducing nursing agency spending is formally included as an objective for the nursing director and reducing medical agency spending is formally included as an objective for the medical director.		
3	The agency executive lead, the medical director and nursing director meet at least monthly to discuss harmonising workforce management and agency procurement processes to reduce agency spending.		
4	We are not engaging in any workarounds to the agency rules.		
	High quality tim	∟ elv data	
5	We know what our biggest challenges are and receive regular (eg monthly) data on:  - which divisions/service lines spend most on agency staff or engage with the most agency staff  - who our highest cost and longest serving agency individuals are  - what the biggest causes of agency spend are (eg vacancy, sickness) and how this differs across service lines.  Clear process for approximate the process of the cooking all agency staff. Individual	ving agency use	
7	service lines and administrators are not booking agency staff.  There is a standard agency staff request process that is well understood by all staff. This process requires requestors and approvers to certify that they have considered all alternatives to using agency staff.		
8	There is a clearly defined approvals process with only senior staff approving agency staff requests. The nursing and medical directors personally approve the most expensive clinical shifts.		
	Actions to reducing demand	for agency staffing	
9	There are tough plans in place for tackling unacceptable spending; eg exceptional overreliance on agency staffing services radiology, very high spending on on-call staff.		
10	There is a functional staff bank for all clinical staff and endeavour to promote bank working and bank fill through weekly payment, auto-enrolment, simplifying bank shift alerts and request process.		3

	Self-certification checklist Please discuss this in your board meeting	Yes - please specify steps taken	No. We will put this in place - please list actions
11	All service lines do rostering at least 6 weeks in advance on a rolling basis for all staff. The majority of service lines and staff groups are supported by eRostering.		
12	There is a clear process for filling vacancies with a time to recruit (from when post is needed to when it is filled) of less than 21 days.		
13	The board and executives adequately support staff members in designing innovative solutions to workforce challenges, including redesigning roles to better sustain services and recruiting differently.		
14	The board takes an active involvement in workforce planning and is confident that planning is clinically led, conducted in teams and based on solid data on demand and commissioning intentions.		
	Working with your local	health economy	
15	The board and executives have a good understanding of which service lines are fragile and currently being sustained by agency staffing.		
16	The trust has regular (eg monthly) executive-level conversations with neighbouring trusts to tackle agency spend together.		

Signed by	[Date

Trust Chair: [Signature]

Trust Chief Executive: [Signature]

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

To note the information included within the report and consider the preferred approach to completion of the agency expenditure checklist by 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016.

Lisa Quinn Executive Director of Commissioning & Quality Assurance October 2016

### Procedure for preparing in-year submissions

In preparing in-year submissions the following reviews will be undertaken:

Reporting Area	Lead	Information to be reviewed	Responsible Committee & Management Forum				
Finance							
Finance Worksheets Finance Declaration	Executive Director of Finance	Finance Reports	Board, RABAC & Executive Directors				
Governance							
Targets and Indicators  Governance Declaration	Executive Director of Commissioning & Quality Assurance	Integrated Performance & Assurance Report	Board, Q&P & Executive Directors				
	Executive Director of Finance	Relevant Audit Reports Minutes of	AC & Executive Directors				
	Board Secretary	relevant Board/committee meetings	Board & Sub Committees				
		Quality Governance Framework					
Elections	Board Secretary	Any results of elections held in the period	Board				
Changes to the Board of Directors and Council of Governors	Board Secretary	Register of Board of Directors and Council of Governors	Board				
Exception reporting	Executive Directors	Any exception reports made during the period	Board & Sub Committees				

#### Exception report Q2 2016-17

Table 3: Examples of where an exception report is required

Examples
<ul> <li>unplanned significant reductions in income or significant increases in</li> </ul>
costs
<ul> <li>discussions with external auditors which may lead to a qualified audit report</li> </ul>
future transactions potentially affecting the financial sustainability risk rating
risk of a failure to maintain registration with CQC for CRS
loss of accreditation of a CRS
<ul> <li>proposals to vary CRS provision or dispose of assets, including:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>cessation or suspension of CRS</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>variation in asset protection processes</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>proposed disposals of CRS-related assets</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>requirements for additional working capital facilities</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>failure to comply with the statutory reporting guidance</li> </ul>
adverse report from internal auditors
<ul> <li>significant third-party investigations or reports that suggest potential</li> </ul>
material issues with governance
CQC inspections and their outcomes
performance penalties to commissioners
third-party investigations or reports that could suggest material issues
with financial, operational, clinical service quality or other aspects of
the trust's activities that could indicate material issues with governance
<ul> <li>CQC responsive or planned inspections and the outcomes/findings</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>changes in chair, senior independent director or executive director</li> </ul>
any never events*
<ul> <li>any patient suicide, homicide or absconsion (mental health trusts only)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>non-compliance with safety and security directions and outcomes of safety and security audits (providers of high security mental health services only)</li> </ul>
other serious incidents or patient safety issues that may impact
compliance with the licence (eg serious incidents, complaints)
<ul> <li>enforcement notices or other sanctions from other bodies implying</li> </ul>
potential or actual significant breach of a licence condition
patient group concerns
concerns from whistleblowers or complaints
<ul> <li>any significant reputation issues, eg any adverse national press attention</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup>Never events should always be reported to us at the same time as to commissioners, even if they will later be deemed not to be never events.

#### **Any Never Events**

There have been no never events reported as per the DH guidance document.

#### Any patient suicide, homicide or absconsion (MH Trusts only)

The table overleaf provides a brief breakdown of serious incidents classed as unexpected deaths and any significant absconsion classed as serious (all other Awols / absconds are reported as patient safety incidents on a weekly basis to the NRLS). It should be noted that the vast majority of the following unexpected death incidents are still waiting a coroner's verdict.

Incident Date	Incident Number	Department	Cause 1	Current Status
01/07/2016	230071	Marsden	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
04/07/2016	231083	Community Neuro Psychiatry WGP	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
06/07/2016	230475	NCL West Adult CMHT Silverdale	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
13/07/2016	234513	SLD Psychological Wellbeing Service MWM	DE01 Unexpected Death	Suicide
18/07/2016	231707	NLD Recovery Partnership Greenacres	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
18/07/2016	231606	SLD South Psychosis/Non Psychosis Doxford	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
25/07/2016	232322	North Tyneside Recovery Partnership Wallsend	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
26/07/2016	232359	NLD Recovery Partnership Greenacres	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
26/07/2016	232664	NCL North & East Adult CMHT Molineux	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
28/07/2016	232570	IAPT Newcastle Silverdale	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
28/07/2016	233030	NLD Recovery Partnership Bowes St	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
30/07/2016	234028	Addictions Services SLD 4 To 6 Mary Street	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
31/07/2016	232919	S Tyneside Psychosis/Non Psychosis Palmers	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
01/08/2016	233143	North Tyneside Recovery Partnership Wallsend	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
02/08/2016	233051	NCL Clinical Drug And Alcohol Service Plummer Ct	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
08/08/2016	233611	Acquired Brain Injury Service GHD	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
12/08/2016	234408	Central & S Northumberland CMHT Greenacres	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
13/08/2016	234141	CYPS Community SLD MWM	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
15/08/2016	234323	North Tyneside East Adult CMHT Hawkeys Lane	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
15/08/2016	234527	Assertive Outreach NCL & NT Oxford Centre	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending

		Medical LD North Of Tyne	DE01 Unexpected	
16/08/2016	235383	NGH	Death	Conclusion Pending
Incident Date	Incident Number	Department	Cause 1	Outcome Type
17/08/2016	234598	NCL North & East Adult CMHT Molineux	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
18/08/2016	234727	Initial Response Team SoT HWP	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
25/08/2016	236333	GHD Community Non Psychosis Team Dryden Rd	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
27/08/2016	235811	SLD North Psychosis / Non Psychosis MWM	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
02/09/2016	236464	NLD Recovery Partnership Sextant House	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
06/09/2016	236389	Central & S Northumberland CMHT Greenacres	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
06/09/2016	236479	NCL North & East Adult CMHT Molineux	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
09/09/2016	236669	North Tyneside Recovery Partnership Wallsend	DE16 Alleged Homicide By A Patient To A Patient	Police Involvement
14/09/2016	237165	SLD & S Tyneside Step Up Hub Palmers	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
15/09/2016	237378	North Tyneside Recovery Partnership Wallsend	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
20/09/2016	238016	North Tyneside East Adult CMHT Station Rd North Tyneside West Adult CMHT Oxford Centre	DE18 Unexpected Death Local AAR DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending  Conclusion Pending
21/09/2016	238010	CTLD Psychology Benton	DE18 Unexpected	
21/09/2016	237974	House	Death Local AAR	Conclusion Pending
21/09/2016	238788	GHD Community Psychosis Team Tranwell	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending
25/09/2016	238430	Crisis Response & Home Treatment SLD HWP	SH02 Attempted Suicide	Local After Action Review
26/09/2016	238450	Crisis Response & Home Treatment Ravenswood	DE01 Unexpected Death	Conclusion Pending

# Adverse national press attention Q2 2016-17

There has been no adverse national media coverage received in the period.