NORTHUMBERLAND TYNE AND WEAR NHS FOUNDATION TRUST BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Meeting Date: 25 November 2015

Title and Author of Paper: Board Assurance Framework Lisa Quinn, Executive Director of Performance & Assurance

Paper for Debate, Decision or Information: Information

Key Points to Note:

The Board is asked to consider the most recent version of the Board Assurance Framework.

The methodology for reviewing items to be included within the BAF has been recently reviewed, and it has been agreed that only principal risks (those with a score of more than 15) will be included in the BAF – reducing the number of risks that are presented to the Board from 35 to eight.

Planned risk scores are also now included within the BAF. All identified risks to strategic objectives are shown at Appendix 1 and the revised BAF is shown at Appendix 2 (nb the presentational format is to be reviewed further).

The NTW Risk Management Policy, along with the existing Group and departmental risk registers are to be reviewed in line with the revised methodology.

Outcome required: For information



BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

25 November 2015

Board Assurance Framework

Purpose

To submit to the Board of the most recent version of the Board Assurance Framework and to highlight recent agreed changes in the approach to the management of risks to strategic objectives (as documented in the Board Assurance Framework).

Summary of key changes to the Board Assurance Framework (BAF)

- A comprehensive review has taken place with Executive Directors and further discussed at the October 2015 closed Board meeting and the Audit Committee.
- It was decided not to fundamentally review the Strategic Objectives themselves at this point in time although some minor changes have been made. The Strategic Objectives will be reviewed as part of the forthcoming review of the organisation's strategy.
- Only those risks to Strategic Objectives with a current (residual) score of 15 or more are identified as "Principal Risks" on the BAF. Any identified risks to strategic objectives with a current score of less than 15 remain on the Trust Corporate Risk Register but are no longer included on the BAF.
- Current and planned risks only are include in the BAF, removing the risk score before mitigation.
- The risk owners have also been reviewed, with a number of changes made to reflect current Executive Director portfolios.
- The NTW Risk Management Policy, along with the existing Group and departmental risk registers are to be reviewed in line with the revised methodology.
- Two new risks to Strategic Objectives have been identified as follows:
 - SO1.6 lack of ownership of PFI buildings. Restrictions in contracts hinder ability to develop estate (Deputy Chief Executive) – nb this is not a principal risks so will show on the corporate risk register only.
 - SO2.11 That we misreport compliance and quality standards through data quality errors (Executive Director of Performance & Assurance) – this has been disaggregated from an existing risk and is a not a principal risk so will show on the corporate risk register only.

- Following review, three risks have increased risk scores:
 - S02.1 That we have a significant loss of income through competition and choice, including the possibility of losing large services and localities (nb this wording to undergoing further review) This risk has increased from low to moderate.
 - S03.3 That we are unable to recruit and retain staff in key posts the risk score has increased and remains moderate.
 - S05.9 That the scale of change and integration agenda across the NHS could affect the sustainability of services and Trust financial position. This risk has increased from low to moderate.
- Appendix 1 summarises the strategic objectives, the risks identified to those objectives and those highlighted are the principal risks that it is proposed will comprise the Board Assurance Framework. While there are risks identified against all Strategic Objectives, not all of the Strategic Objectives have principal risks identified on the Board Assurance Framework.
- Appendix 2 is the most recent version of the Board Assurance framework. The presentational format is to be reviewed further.

Recommendations

The Board is requested to:

- note the information included within this report and associated documents
- support the ongoing development activity of both the BAF and the corporate risk register and ensure that risks on the Board Assurance Framework continue to be regularly reviewed.

Lisa Quinn, Executive Director of Performance & Assurance November 2015

Appendix 1 Summary of Strategic Objectives and Key Risks Identified (those on the Board Assurance Framework are highlighted yellow):

Strategic Objective	Key Risk / Lead	CE	DCE	DoP&	MD	DoN&	Jowo n
Strategic Objective 1 To modernise	rvices in line with local		Х				
and reform services in line with local and national strategies and the needs of individuals and communities, providing first class care in first class	SO1.2 - That we do not effectively engage commissioners and other key stakeholders leading to opposition or significant delay in implementing service model review changes and other major planned service changes	Х					
environments	SO1.5 - That we do not effectively develop and manage the capital development programme, including generating capital and controlling expenditure, in order to deliver first class environments		х				
	SO1.6 - lack of ownership of PFI buildings. Restrictions in contracts hinder ability to develop estate.		Х				
Strategic Objective 2 To be a sustainable and consistently high	SO2.1 - That we have a significant loss of income through competition and choice, including the possibility of losing large services and localities		Х				
performing organisation	SO2.2 - That we do not manage our financial resources effectively to ensure long term financial stability (including differential between income and inflation, impact of QIPP and the cost improvement programme)		х				
	SO2.3 - That the implementation of PbR for mental health impacts on the Trust's financial stability		Х				
	SO2.6 - That we do not follow financial procedures and processes		Х				
	SO2.7 - That we do not meet compliance and performance standards and/or misreport on these through data quality errors			Х			
	SO2.8 - That we do not meet significant statutory and legal requirements					Х	
	SO2.9 - That we enter into unsound or ineffective business partnership arrangements, leading to possible income loss, reputation risk and patient safety risk		х				
	SO2.11 - That we misreport compliance and quality standards through data quality errors			Х			
Strategic Objective 3 To be a model employer, an employer of choice and	SO3.1 - That we do not effectively manage significant workforce and organisational changes, including increasing staff productivity						Χ
employer that makes the best use of the talents of the entire workforce	SO3.2 - That we do not sufficiently develop the capability of management and clinical teams to deliver change, including embedding leadership skills across the Trust						Х
	SO3.3 - That we are unable to recruit and retain staff in key posts						Χ
	SO3.4 - That staff have a lack of key skills and knowledge						Χ
	SO3.5 - That staff do not comply with employment legislation and follow Trust HR policies and management guidance						Χ
Strategic Objective 4 Fully embrace	SO4.1 - That we do not deliver effective Trust wide communication and involve our staff	Х					
and support service user, carer, staff and public involvement, including our	SO4.2 - That we do not effectively communicate with and involve service users and carers					Х	
membership, in all aspects of our work							
		Х					

Strategic Objective	Key Risk / Lead	CE	DCE	DoP&	MD	DoN&	DoWO n
Strategic Objective 5 Provide high quality evidence based and safe services supported by effective	y evidence based and safe embedded across the Trust essupported by effective					Х	
integrated governance arrangements						Х	
	SO5.5 - That there are risks to the safety of service users and others if clinical policies and procedures are not accessible, clear and understandable, with effective processes in place to ensure that they are implemented					х	
	SO5.6 - The risk that high quality, evidence-based and safe services will not be provided if there are difficulties in accessing services in a timely manner and that services are not sufficiently responsive to demands					х	
	SO5.7 - The risk that high quality, evidence based and safe sevices will not be provided if we do not have robust clinical effectiveness processes in place, including the implementation of NICE guidance				х		
	SO5.8 - That there are adverse impacts on clinical care due to potential future changes in clinical pathways through changes in the commissioning of services			Х			
	SO5.9- That the impact of the financial climate on individuals and partner organisations increases demands on the Trust's services, affecting the delivery of high quality and safe services	х					
	SO5.10- That we do not have effective governance arrangements in place			Х			
Strategic Objective 5 Provide high quality evidence based and safe	SO6.2 - That we do not effectively manage the transition of full health records from paper to electronic, including ensuring data quality			Х			
services supported by effective integrated governance arrangements	SO6.3 - That we do not further develop integrated information systems across partner organisations			Х			
	SO6.4 - That staff do not follow Information Governance, Caldicott and IM&T policies and procedures			Х			
Strategic Objective 7 Be an influential organisation which supports and enables social inclusion	SO7.1 - By not maintaining and developing effective relationships and partnerships with key stakeholders at strategic levels, we do not progress social inclusion	х					

Appendix 2: Board Assurance Framework November 2015

	Strategic Objective 1 To modernise and reform services in line with local and national strategies and the needs of individuals and communities, providing first class care in first class envi Principal Risk Reference, Description & Executive Lead:								
Ì			Mitigating Key Controls						
+ +	Programme Board. Community Transformation Programme, Specialist Care Transformation Programme & Inpatient Transformation reports to CDT & Trust Board. Linked into local MH Programme Boards. Community Transformation & implementation groups.	Group Business Meeting - group ownership, reports to CDT, effective post project evaluation and feedback to operational structures	Business Development Group	Service User and Carer Network Reference Group	Business Case Process	++			
↓			ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)			+			
↓ ↓ ↓ ↓			5x3=15 Previously: *no change*			· → → →			
 →		How dowe Achieve Assura	ance that this control is working on an on-going	basis (Positive Assurance)		. i.			
+				Feedback through user and carer Trustwide Lead into Programme Board. Exec and Director engagement with Service User and Carer Network	Each individual service change subject to business case, under scrutiny through FIBD, CDT and Board	→ →			
\downarrow			Gaps in Control			+			
+				Formal feedback into Programme Board and as appropriate Trust Board. Review direct involvement in project structure		*			
\downarrow			***new ***plans to reduce gaps in control			+			
+						+			
↓ ↓			Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)			+			
+			5x3=15			+			
↓ ↓		Last I	nternal/external Audit and/or Independent Assur (Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)	rance		+			
\downarrow	Programme management IA, Transforming Services - 1415/NTW/57 Significant assurance with issues of note				1415/NTW/49 - Compliance with responding to tenders and business case process PGN. Significant assurance with no issues of note.	→ →			
*		Date of	of Next Internal/External Audit or Independent Re	eview		+			
	Internal Audit plan 1.2.1 review of governance structure planned for 15/16 Q4.			Plan 3.26 Patient Experience 15/16 Q3		*			
$\stackrel{\star}{\downarrow}$	0		Gaps in Assurance			*			
\mathbf{v}	Comtinuing external review by LSE of community transformation. Lack of clear benefits realisation across all programmes			Review of effectiveness of service user and carer engagement and involvement		+			
\downarrow			How we manage these Gaps (Control and Assurance)			+			
↓	Review of PCP - Benefits Realisation					+			
V			Update/Comment			+			
↓	September 2015 - delay in LSE review					↓			

	Strategic Objective 1 To modernise and reform services in line with local and national strategies and the needs of individuals and communities, providing first class care in first class environ Principal Risk Reference, Description & Executive Lead: SO1.2 - That we do not effectively engage public, commissioners and other key stakeholders leading to opposition or significant delay in implementing our service strategy - CE							
			Mitigating K	iey Controls				
			ivilityating N	ey Controls				
+ +	Management of relationships with partners, including CCG's, LA's, MPs etc	Membership of Health and Wellbeing Boards	Partnership Working arrangements		Communication Strategy. Specific engagement strategy for transforming services. Use of Trust communications outlets such as The Bulletin supported by specific initiatives	Public consultation on major service change (supporting CCGs w ith their statutory responsibility to consult)		
ψ			ResidualRisk Rating	(Impact x Likelihood)		+		
*			5x3	=15				
*			Previously:	*no obongo*		*		
₩		How do we A		w orking on an on-going basis (Positive	Assurance)	<u> </u>		
\downarrow	Executive leads have been identified	6 monthly report of Health and	Annual update report to Board	Monthly Business Development	Feedback from staff and other	Feedback on consultation		
+ +	and robust liaison arranegments are in place with localities. Update reports provided to CDT, and Chief Exec includes significant issues in Chief Exec report to Board. Regular meetings with local MPs.	Wellbeing Boards to Trust Board		Group progress updates to FIBD	stakeholders	Peedback on consultation		
↓			Gaps in	Control		<u> </u>		
→ →	Capacity to liaise with all local stakeholders / differing priorities across 6 localities.	Gateshead and Sunderland gaps, but alternative arrangements in place		Review of Business Case process in progress	Draft Communications Strategy discussed by Board in ? 2015- further w ork required	Media management and engagement with public / capacity to support consultation arrangements and options.		
\			***new ***plans to re	duce gaps in control		*		
→ →				1415/NTW/49 - Compliance with responding to tenders and business case process PGN. Significant assurance with no issues of note.		*		
\			Planned Risk Rating	(Impact x Likelihood)		<u> </u>		
¥			5x3	=15		Ť		
+			Last Internal/external Audit ar	nd/or Independent Assurance				
↓			(Date/Title and Ov	verall Conclusion)	Formalise feedback and gather			
+			Data of Name of Name	A. distribution in the control of th	lessons on engagement	<u> </u>		
*			Date of Next Internal/External	Audit or independent Review				
↓						<u> </u>		
¥			Gaps in A	ssurance		ų.		
\downarrow								
V			l levi vi	go those Cons		<u> </u>		
¥ +			How we mana (Control and	ge these Gaps Assurance)				
→						<u> </u>		
¥.			Update/0	Comment		¥		
→ →	Board development session July 2013				To progress agreement with Staff Side . Final communications strategy to be agreed by Board	Dedicated staff capacity created to support.		

Strategic Objective 2 Principal Risk Reference, Descripti	To be a sustainable and consistent ion & Executive Lead:	ly high performing organisation			
		Mitigating k	Key Controls		
Engagement with Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) (see also SO1.2)	Agreed contracts in place and framew ork for managing change	Customer Relationships Approach	Marketing Strategy	Horizon Scanning	Tender Process (FIBD - Sept 12)
↓ ↓		ResidualRisk Rating	(Impact x Likelihood)		
L L		5x3	3=15		
	Llaus da us		ly: 3x3 = 9	Accuracy	
¥ 		e Achieve Assurance that this control is			
Monthly Trust Board reports on progress against plan as part of Financial Report in IPR	Monthly/Quarterly contract management meetings		Commercial and Marketing Highlight Report to FIBD and SMT	3 Year Operational Plan approved by Board March 2014.5 Year Strategic Plan approved by Board June 2014. IBP approved by Board	Commercial and Marketing Highlight Report to FIBD and CDT includes update reports on current and future tenders
↓ ↓		Gaps ir	n Control		
Transitional arrangements for Commissioners		Need to review / strengthen approach	Review of Marketing Strategy in progress		Review of Tender process in progress
\ \		***new ***plans to re	educe gaps in control		
i i					
L L		Planned Risk Rating	(Impact x Likelihood)		
Į į		5x3	3=15		
↓			nd/or Independent Assurance verall Conclusion)		
į	1415/NTW/36 NHS and Non Healthcare and Diagnostic Services Agreements, Significant Assurance, Key controls testing audit - Nov 14	(Sato) into and o	or an establish		1415/NTW/49 - Compliance with responding to tenders and business case process PGN. Significant assurance with no issues of note.
l l		Date of Next Internal/Externa	Audit or Independent Review		
l l	NHS Healthcare Agreements - plan 5.6 15/16 Q2				
V		Gaps in A	Assurance		
į					
V L			age these Gaps		
ı		Control and	Assurance)		
V V		Úpdate/	Comment		
Report to Board / sub-committee	Internal audit - NHS agreements and other clinical support agreements (1213/NTW/44)	To identify and implement action			

Strategic Objective 2 Principal Risk Reference, Description & Ex	To be a sustainable and consistently high ecutive Lead:		al resources effectively to ensure long terr IPP and the cost improvement programme	
		Mitigating Key Controls		
Operational Plan/Str	rategic Plan/IBP/Long term financial model/ Update	d Financial Strategy	Financial Reporting through Trust Board, CDT, FIBD and GBM.	Transforming Services Programme - aligning long term strategy/ service redesign with funding
		ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)		
		5x3=15		
	How do we Achieve Assu	Previously: *no change* Irance that this control is working on an on-going	basis (Positive Assurance)	
O Very Or antique I Plan array and he Pennel				Describe and the Transferring
2 Year Operational Plan approved by Board March 15, 5 Year Strategic Plan approved by Board June 2014. Monthly Trust Board reports on progress against financial plan	Financial Strategy update(Board March 15)	Going Concern Report prepared by Audit Committee in March 2015 and recommended to Board the Trust is a going concern in May 2015. Board approved Annual Plan and Corporate Governance Statements in May 2015.	Monthly progress reports as part of Finance Report (IPR report)	Regular progress reports to Transforming Services Board /Trust Board
		Gaps in Control		
Lack of 5 year plan and in year financial delivery plan only.			Significant assurance Budgetary Central Control (April 2012) 1415/NTW/13 Cost Improvement Programme - Significant Ass with one issue - Nov 14	
		***new ***plans to reduce gaps in control		
14/15/NTW/39 - Financial systems: key controls, significant assurance with no issues of note				
		Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)		
		5x3=15		
	Last	Internal/external Audit and/or Independent Assur (Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)	ance	
		SAIO TROUTO OF ORGIN SOMOROGIOTI		
	Date	of Next Internal/External Audit or Independent Re	view	
		Gaps in Assurance		
		I		
		Update/Comment		
Development of 5 year plan by April 2016				

ategic Objective 3 ncipal Risk Reference, Description & E		,	mployer that makes the best use of th					, Description & Executive Lead			at use of the talents of the entire workforce ffectively manage significant workforce and of	rganisational changes, including increasing	** contin
			Migating Key Controls										
orce Strategy - approved by Trust Boar 2015	d Workforce KPIs	Group / Directorate Workforce Plans and Q&P Meetings	Approach	Time and Attendance and e-rostering system and Core Shift Patterns		nce and Sickness Absence gement Policy	Mobilising the PCP Workforce IT w orkstream	Staff Survey/ Staff FFT	Health and Wellbeing Strategy	HR Framework	Leadership and Management Programme monitored through Leadership and Trust Programme Boards. A range of OD, Leadership & Management strategies are in place.	Staff Side Engagement and Partnership Agreement. Staff side seat on Transformation Board and engagment and involvement in delivery programmes and projects	Medical Job Planning
			ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likeliho	od)									
			5x3=15										
			Previously: *no change*										
		How do we Achieve Ass	urance that this control is working on an or	-going basis (Positive Assurance)									
strategy will be monitored by the force Committee when established. ular Workforce reports to Board, Q&P e, Group Q&Ps and the Workforce, ning and Development Sub-Committee	KPIs monitored through the Workforce, Training and Development Sub- Committee of Q&P Committee and Board and at Group Q&Ps.	Organisational Change progress reports to the Worldforce Committee (w hen established) including w orkforce risks	quarterly by the Workforce, Training and	Tinst Beard reports on progress against plan (July 11), Discussed at Operational Consultative Comittees. TAED project board meet quarterly to report on progress against plan	Progress/performance reports to Board, Q&P, Group Q&Ps, and the Workforce, Training and Development Sub- Committee		Informatics Highlight report to FIBD	Reports and analysis to Trust Board, CDT, Q&P, Group Q&Ps and Worldorce Committee (when established)			Rogress reports to VlorIdroe Committee (whe established), NTW Top Leaders programme in place, participation in Leadership Academy programmes, Level 1 leadership courses being rolled out. New management development programme in place.	Development and Partnership sessions with staff side. Individual consultations with staff or specific changes, involving staff side engagment	1
			Gaps in Control										
Workforce committee structure still to be established.	Workforce committee structure still to be established.	Workforce committee structure still to be established. Group Workforce plans developed and profession specific workforce plans to be worked through eg Medical	established.TED approach may not be fit	Evaluation of TAED benefits analysis required				Workforce committee structure still to be established. Limited feedback to date re Group actions in response to staff FFT results/comments	Strategy to be agreed at CDT Autumn 2015		Workforce committee structure still to be established. Programmes to be evaluated by OD Committee (sub group of workforce committee) when established.	Formal review of effectiveness required	Review of performance reporting arrangements in progress.
			""new ""plans to reduce gaps in cor	trol									
			Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likeliho	nod).									
				u)									
			5x3=15										
		La	st Internal/external Audit and/or Independen (Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)										
	Workforce KP audit completed Sept 12 - significant assurance with no issues of note achieved (1213/NTW/20, system based audit with compliance testing) nb recent performance audit?		including disclosure and barring service (DBS) checks - significant assurance with no issues of note	1233/MVIQ23, Time and Attendance operation at ward year Sign Ass with bissues of Note, systems based audit with compliance testing, 121/3/MVIVI Time, attendance and E-rostering of bank and agency staff, Sig Ass with Issues of Note, 121/3/MVIVI42 - TAP - Sig Ass, 131/4/MVIVI TAP Ermofene - Sig Ass with issues of note - Sept 13, NTW3086 TAER System Weatiness - Fraud Peterral - no fraud implications June 2014	Internal Audit - 1415/NTW/14 significant assurance with issues of note	Internal audit - Team Prevent (1213/NTW/21) - significant assurance with issues of note- compliance with DPA requirements 7th data protection principle						Transforming Services 1415/NTW/57	1314NTW46 Compliance with Medica Revalidation - sig ass with its suses of n 1415NTW09 Medical Job Planning - In 1415NTW40 Private Practice - Ltd ass
		Da	te of Next Internal/External Audit or Indepen	dent Review									
	Workforce KPIs - plan 10.3 Annual Q1 & Q2		is needed and will be picked up as TED	TAER - Plan 7.2.2 Annual Q4 1516 Q3 2014/15 - the Director of Nursing and Operations is currently leading a review of core shift patterns - this is ongoing (Sep 2015)		Occupational Health audit - Plan 9.8 completed Q1 1516							Medical Revalidation- plan 9.4 15/16 (Medical Job planning - plan 9.11 15/16
			Gaps in Assurance										
for overview reports on implementation rategy			NB the scope of the recruitment and selection audit did not cover TED										1415/NTW/09 Medical Job planning - I assurance (M J Taachi?)
			How we manage these Gaps (Control and Assurance)										
			Update/Comment										
	Developing new Terms of Reference and standing agenda for Workforce, Training and Development Sub-Committee	Group Nurse Directors are responsible for the development of Group workforce plans	It is difficult to fully utilise the TED approach for corporate services staff.	The rollout of the TAER project has been paused following a review of IM&T priorities. The current use of the TAER system is managed within operational services.		The Sickness absence strategy has been developed, training is being provided. New contract review process has been established with Team Prevent with regular review meetings				Ongoing learning from implementing innovative processes	Organisational Development Stratlegy agreed at Trust Board June 2015.	Joint Partnership day held March 2015.	SARD (Strengthened Appraisal and Revalidation Database) implemented a in use.

Strategic Objective 3 Principal Risk Reference, Descri	Strategic Objective 3 To be a model employer, an employer of choice and employer that makes the best use of the talents of the entire workforce Principal Risk Reference, Description & Executive Lead: SO3.3 - That we are unable to recruit and retain staff in key posts - DoWOD							
			Mitigating Key Controls					
Workforce Strategy - approved by Trust Board June 2015 ✓	Group / Exec Directorate Workforce Plans	process	International Nursing & Medical Recruitment ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likelihoo	Annual Staff Survey	Recruitment and	I Selection Policy		
↓ ↓			4x4=16					
↓ ↓ 1.			Previous: 4x3=12					
↓ ↓		How do we Achieve Assurance	that this control is working on an on-	-going basis (Positive Assurance)				
The strategy will be monitored by the Workforce Committee (w hen bestablished). Regular Workforce reports to Board, Q&P Cttee and the Workforce, Training and Development Sub-Committee	Organisational Change progress reports to be received by the Workforce Committee (w hen established) including w orkforce risks	Evaluation days held	TBC	Reports and analysis to Trust Board, Q&P, Group Q&P's and Workforce Committee (w hen established)	Values Based Recruitment Compaigns commenced March 2014 (including structured medical campaign)	Membership of HENE		
ν 			Gaps in Control			7		
Workforce committee structure still to be established.	Workforce committee structure still to be established. Group Workforce plans developed and profession specific workforce plans to be worked through eg Medical	Cost of process not yet measured (both human and financial). No analysis of retention rates.	TBC	Workforce committee structure still to be established.	Developing new ways of working - flexible options. Service Manager level training and identification of managers capabilities. Ongoing consideration of international recruitment for BS&B6 nurses.	Workforce Planning, Education and Training Committee not yet established		
4		*	**new ***plans to reduce gaps in cont	trol		, J		
						1		
		r	Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likelihoo	od)				
			4x4=16			<u>_</u>		
\ \ \		Last Interr	nal/external Audit and/or Independent (Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)	Assurance				
L L					1213/NTW/32, Significant Assurance with Issues of Note, systems based audit with compliance testing	14/15/NTW/48 Compliance with recruitment and selection processes, including disclosure and barring service (DBS) checks significant assurance with no issues of note		
↓ ↓		Date of Ne	ext Internal/External Audit or Independ	dent Review				
						↓		
↓ ↓			Gaps in Assurance			↓ ↓		
Need for overview reports on implementation of strategy						4		
V V			How we manage these Gaps (Control and Assurance)			↓		
						+		
V			Update/Comment			.		
Strategy currently under review.	Group Nurse Directors are responsible for developing plans.		First international medical recruitment due Octber 2015	Engagement work being embedded via various mechanisms including speakeasy process and "Conversations". Clinical groups are leading local actions re specific issues raised in the Staff Survey.	ongoing development of Bands 1-4	1		

Strategic Objective 5 Provide high quality evidence based and safe services supported by effective integrated governance arrangements Principal Risk Reference, Description & Executive Lead: SO5.6 - The risk that high quality, evidence-based and safe services will not be provided if there are difficulties in accessing ser in a timely manner and that services are not sufficiently responsive to demands - DoN&O							
			Mitigating Key Controls				
\downarrow	Reconfiguration of team and working practices across Sunderland and South Tyneside		nd Care Programme Approach Policy and Practice ce Notes	Care Co-ordination training	Crisis Teams have a prescriptive service framew ork		
$\overset{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$			ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)				
$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$			5x3=15				
 ↓ ↓		How do we Achieve Ass	Previously: *no change* surance that this control is w orking on an on-going b	pasis (Positive Assurance)			
\ \ \ \	Arrangements in place to cover staffing	Care Co-ordination update (Q&P - March 11 ???);			Agreement to establish a Universal Crisis Team which will meet the needs of the wider population		
$\downarrow \\ \downarrow$			Gaps in Control				
+	Failure to recruit to Band 3 and admin posts		Clearer arrangements for transitions from hospital to community to be established		Crisis Team are established to meet the needs of WAA population rather than the total population		
$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$			***new ***plans to reduce gaps in control				
+ +							
↓			Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likelihood)				
*			5x3=15				
↓ ↓		Las	st Internal/external Audit and/or Independent Assura (Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)	ince			
↓ ↓			Clinical audits emergency re-admissions and health records (Q&P March 11)????	CA-15-0020 - Care Coordination Audit - APT (Separate to CSG) CA-15-0021 - Care Coordination Audit - Specialist Care (Annual Audit) CA-15-0022 - Care Coordination Audit - Inpatient Care Group (Annual Audit) CA-15-0023 - Care Coordination Audit - Community Services Group (Annual Audit)			
\downarrow			te of Next Internal/External Audit or Independent Rev		;		
+		Ongoing Clinical Audits through QMT audits, bi- annual for all clinical teams. Urgent Care review in draft March 14 (CA-13-0117).		Annual Audit			
\downarrow			Gaps in Assurance				
\downarrow	Continued dependence on UCT to cover certain roles???			Training levels at 87.8% - 30.09.2015	Not all areas covered by NTW have the model in place		
\downarrow			How we manage these Gaps (Control and Assurance)				
+							
بل			Update/Comment				
+			opdate/comment				
\downarrow		Ongoing clinical audits through Quality Management Tool audits					

	Strategic Objective 5 Provide high quality evidence based and safe services supported by effective integrated governance arrangements Principal Risk Reference, Description & Executive Lead:									
ı										
	Mitigating Key Controls									
	Partnership arrangements with local authorities and commissioners	Customer Relationship Management	Partner in local Vanguard projects	Involvement in national groups eg NHS provider Board	Health and Wellbeing Boards					
↓			ResidualRisk Rating (Impact x Likelihood							
V			4x4=16							
¥ ¥ 1.			Previously: 2x3=6							
\downarrow		How do we Achieve Ass	urance that this control is working on an on-go	ping basis (Positive Assurance)						
	Robust locality link arrangements with regular									
	updates to CDT and exceptional items reported to Board by Chief Exec. Progress reports to Board									
	by Deputy Director of Partnerships									
\downarrow			Gaps in Control							
↓	Clarity required on Northumberland Partnership	Need to review/strengthen approach			Not currently a member of all Boards					
¥			***new ***plans to reduce gaps in control	l .	,					
*										
¥										
•										
¥			Planned Risk Rating (Impact x Likelihood							
↓ ↓			4x4=16							
↓ ↓		Las	st Internal/external Audit and/or Independent A	ssurance						
↓	1314/NTW/18 Review of Trust compliance againts		(Date/Title and Overall Conclusion)		,					
	ts Terms of Authorisation, Significant Assurance									
Ĭ	with No Issues of Note	Dat	te of Next Internal/External Audit or Independer	nt Review						
ř.		Dat	e of tweet internal Addit of independen	RACTOR	· ·					
	Governance Structure - Plan 1.2.1 15/16 Q4 Governance Structure (plan 1.2.1 2016/17).									
↓ [Gaps in Assurance							
V	Audit of Partnerships arrangements									
¥			How we manage these Gaps							
↓			(Control and Assurance)							
V										
↓										
ν.			Update/Comment							
↓										
↓										
↓										