

Adopting a Model for Supervision

- 1 Negotiating areas for Clinical Supervision. [There are many models of Clinical Supervision. The Trust has promotes model as a model of good practice.](#)
 - 1.1 Figure 1 (Appendix 5) represents the inter-relation between different areas, which could potentially be discussed in [Clinical Supervision/Peer Review](#).
 - 1.2 The first step in negotiating a model would be identify specifically, which areas are to be addressed in [Clinical Supervision](#), and which could be addressed by others e.g. Line manager, peers etc. This helps both supervisee and supervisor to be clear about the focus of supervision.
 - 1.3 The emphasis of the areas where the supervisee/reviewee identifies they require supervision should help to identify an appropriate model. A balance should be achieved between support and development.
- 2 **Models of supervision**
 - 2.1 Heron's six-category intervention analysis (1990) describes interventions that the supervisor can make with the supervisee. It is a model that is used in counselling and allows the supervisor to adopt a variety of approaches interchangeably, for instance being authoritative at times but also facilitative.
 - 2.2 Proctor (1986) suggests that there are 3 functions to supervision; normative tasks, which are about standard setting, formative tasks, which are about skill development and restorative tasks which are about openness and validation to create a healthy environment.
 - 2.3 Faugier (1992) describes a "Growth and support" model, in which the supervisee experiences personal growth through a trust based relationship with their supervisor.
 - 2.4 Ramirez (1991) suggests a multi-cultural model in which he argues that all individuals develop very differently as a result of a unique blend of cultural experiences. He argues that the supervisee and supervisor should therefore be matched in cognitive style and cultural background to create effective supervision.
 - 2.5 Benner (1992) describes a process by which practitioners develop from novice to expert in a particular clinical area. Learning is via a process of practice development and critical thinking. Emphasis is placed upon analysing one's own practice.

2.6 There are a number of other models such as Milne (1986), Hawkins and Shohet (1993). Most models have developed from a therapeutic background and many are appropriate for caseload discussion.

3 Selecting a model

3.1 When choosing a model the supervisor/reviewer and supervisee/reviewee should consider:

- Structure of sessions
- What areas will be discussed at supervision
- What approach and cognitive style do they prefer
- What type of clinical area they work in
- What areas the supervisee particularly wishes to develop

3.2 Aspects of existing models may be combined or an original one devised to suit the individual.

3.3 The supervisor/reviewer and supervisee/reviewee may find it useful to look at how they may use:

- Feedback and challenge
- Reflection
- Significant Incident Analysis
- Active listening
- Critical thinking
- Sensitivity
- Openness
- Expertise
- Sharing knowledge
- Catharsis
- Catalytic Interventions
- Support
- Interventions with service users
- Within their model